

The New World Order



A New Millennium – 21st Century

"When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men living together in society, they create for themselves in the course of time a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it."^[1]

Abstract

In her cutting edge work *21st Century Strategies for Sustainability* Hazel Henderson makes the case that economic systems and theories of the past are not true sciences, and that they are outdated and invalid – based on dysfunctional false beliefs that have been engrained into our consciousness and accepted as true. She states:

“What do deep primitive beliefs about the primacy of competition and territoriality have to do with poverty, conflicts and wars? All are rooted in ancient human fears – of scarcity, of attacks by wild animals or other fearful bands of humans. **Rooting out these fears** – deeply **coded** in our ‘s-versus-them’ political and economic **textbooks** – is the essential task of our generation. We must move beyond this economics of our early **reptilian** brains – to include the economics of our hearts and forebrains! These old fears underlie today’s continuing cycles of oppression, poverty, violence, revenge and terrorism. Indeed, if we humans do not root out these now-dysfunctional old fears, we will destroy each other. Politicians frequently use fear to manipulate consent. Yet fear can be counterproductive. **Franklin D. Roosevelt** during the Great Depression in the US proclaimed that we have nothing to fear but fear itself!”^[2]

Such primitive beliefs are indeed rooted in ancient human **fears**; however, beliefs and fears are both mental and emotional components of man’s inner being. Rooting out such

fears is part of the human way – of progression and growth, mentally, emotionally, and spiritually.

The rooting out of these beliefs and fears, as coded in our political and economic textbooks, is an animal of a different sort. The first involves work on one's inner self; the second deals with the removal of certain written theories that may or may not be scientific or "correct", as well as one's belief and reliance therein.

On a deeper level, if the inner self-work has been started, the exterior manifestations of previous false beliefs and fears will automatically disappear from the textbooks, as they disappear from popular (dis)belief – and/or they will not be believed and accepted by those who read them and have acknowledged the inner work of self-realization.

Change

You cannot change man's inner emotional, mental, and spiritual being from the outside in – all such change must take place from the inside out. All action is preceded by thought. All thought is preceded by desire. All desire is preceded by feeling. All feeling is preceded by sensation upon our nervous system via our four senses that are the ambassadors to our inner court – from nature without.

If man is going to change the way he acts, he will first need to change the way he thinks, feels, and desires on the inside. All exteriorizations come from interiorizations that are put forth into the outside world. In this regard the word cultivated is of interest.

Causes

Henderson continues by saying:

“This new awareness reveals not a flaw in human nature – but a flaw in our **encoding** of our past in that set of dysfunctional beliefs that deny humanity's true genius – those cooperative, bonding and altruistic skills that have under girded our progress to date. This malfunctioning **source code** underlying economics focused on money circulation, is still replicating behaviors and organizational structures that imperil human survival under 21st century conditions. The creation of money – from clay tablets, coins to electronic data – was a vital social innovation to track transactions beyond barter in early markets. Yet, money does not equate to wealth and today's high-tech electronic barter reminds us that money is merely one form of information – **no longer needed** in today's **electronic barter transactions.**”^[3]

While it may be true that certain belief systems are dysfunctional, it does not necessarily follow that all such “flaws” have been the result of improper encoding of our past. It requires an even greater leap of faith to then suggest that the supposed resulting malfunctioning source code undermines all economics focused on money circulation.

Types of Money

There are many types of money. What the author is referring to is the existing monetary systems of a paper fiat debt-money that presently circulate as the various world currencies. It is true that paper fiat debt-money is the major contributing factor to the world's economic, financial, and monetary problems.

Furthermore, paper fiat debt-money is impeding any true growth and progression of the human race, as we move forward into the new millennium.

But this does not mean that there isn't a monetary system that can replace the inherent faults of a paper fiat debt-money system with a sound monetary system. See [*Gold's Hidden Secret: The Moral Hazard of Fiat Money*](#).

Neither does it mean that it is all the result of improper encoding. Money does not equate with wealth – this is true, however, this does not mean that money is **no longer needed** in today's **electronic barter transactions**. A time will come when money is no longer needed, just as in the days of the ancients it was not needed – but now is not that time. Now is the time for Honest Money – for the procession of the equinoxes.

Black Is White

Also, the author first states that electronic money is one of three forms of money used to track transactions beyond barter in early markets. Then it is said that today's high-tech electronic barter reminds us that money is just a mere form of information **no longer needed** in today's **electronic barter transactions**.

This is a contradiction of both terms and meaning. Barter is first alluded to being less evolved than various forms of monetary transactions. Then money is said not to be needed in today's **electronic barter transactions**, which implies we have gone back to the use of barter – be it electronic or not.

All of which shows a complete lack of understanding of money, trade, and barter. See [*Honest Money: What It Is and What It Isn't - Part 1 – Money*](#) and [*Honest Money: What It Is and What It Isn't - Part 2 – The Quality Theory of Money*](#).

There is a great deal here that is not being said or fully explained. Broad sweeping generalizations and inferences are being used – when fine detail is begging to be employed.

Further on Henderson correctly states:

“This quiet ‘coup’ achieved by central bankers and their advocates among the economics profession is illustrative of the methods of neoconservatives, such as those currently dominant in the USA. Yet, the failures of these economic models in achieving their targets of non-inflationary economic growth and fuller

employment is evident in the recent history of financial crises, booms, busts, bubbles, un-repayable debt and un-employment.” [4]

There is no question that the “coup” achieved by central bankers has failed in achieving non-inflationary economic growth, and that the recurrent boom and bust cycles are a testimony to such failure. Nevertheless, although paper fiat debt-money has failed “We the People”, it has not failed those who devised and implemented it – to think so is naive at best, and foolish at worst. Paper fiat debt-money is the greatest wealth transference mechanism ever devised by man. It is modern day plunder that has served its masters well – the moneychangers – the elite collectivists of the world.

“In the absence of the gold standard, there is no way to protect savings from confiscation through inflation. There is no safe **store of value**. If there were, the **government** would have to make its **holding illegal**, as was done in the case of **gold**. If everyone decided, for example, to convert all his bank deposits to silver or copper or any other good, and thereafter declined to accept checks as payment for goods, bank deposits would lose their purchasing power and government-created bank **credit would be worthless as a claim on goods**. The financial policy of the welfare state requires that there be no way for the owners of wealth to protect themselves.

This is the shabby **secret** of the welfare statist's tirades against gold. Deficit spending is simply a scheme for the “hidden” **confiscation of wealth**. Gold stands in the way of this insidious process. It stands as a protector of property rights. If one grasps this, one has no difficulty in understanding the statist's antagonism toward the gold standard.” [5]

White Is Black

The debt is indeed un-repayable, as it was carefully intended and structured to be. The elite collectivists do not want the debt paid off, not that it can be – they simply want the perpetual interest rate stream that such a never-ending, un-repayable, continually expanding debt load brings to the table – their table.

“This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent on the Commercial Banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation, cash or credit. If the Banks create ample synthetic money we are prosperous; if not, we starve.

We are absolutely without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture, **the tragic absurdity of our hopeless position is almost incredible, but there it is.**

It is the most important subject intelligent persons can investigate and reflect upon. It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it becomes widely understood and the defects remedied very soon.” [6]

Be it noted, I do not agree with the monetary policies that Alan Greenspan implemented throughout his tenure at the Federal Reserve, as I have written on ad infinitum. However, his above quote does explain quite unequivocally, the insidious nature of paper fiat debt-money, the confiscation or transference of wealth thereby, and the welfare state it engenders.

A socialist welfare state created by **Roosevelt's New Deal** and his **confiscation of private gold holdings**, which at the time were the gold and silver coins in circulation as the currency, the same hard money system mandated by the Constitution of the United States (which is still the case, as a constitutional amendment has never been passed to change it). Roosevelt's actions are arguably one of the greatest swindles of all time. See [Social Security: The New Deal - A Raw Deal, Part 5](#).

Moving on we find Henderson stating that:

“Yet, this belief in an ‘invisible hand’ persists in many economic textbooks – even today, buttressing neoconservative agendas expressed by such philosophers as Friedrich Hayak and Ayn Rand and her aficionados including Alan Greenspan, Chair of the US Federal Reserve. Not only are independent central bank policies obscure and driven by often obsolete general equilibrium models, central bankers are also politically-motivated. For example, Italy's independent central bank president, Antonio Fazio is accused of cronyism, condoning fraud in the Parmalat scandal and disregard for ethical standards.”^[7]

While it is unquestionably true that central bank policies are politically motivated, it should be noted that Ayn Rand nicknamed Alan Greenspan the “undertaker” long before he took office. As his quote above showed, he did understand the workings of gold versus paper fiat early on in his career. But he chose not to follow the yellow brick road – he instead became exactly what Rand said he was: a political animal. Only yes men are ever knighted – be it honorary or not – be it honorable or not.

The Center

I am not here to defend central banking, as I detest it through and through; however, I also place the other international elite organizations in the same shadow: the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the Bank for International Settlements, the World Trade Organization, and the United Nations. If political scandals are the measure by which to judge an organization's merits, one needs look no further than the United Nations, as the fruit does not fall far from the tree.

Some examples of UN scandals are: [the Oil-for-Food Programme Scandal](#), [the Cole Inquiry](#), numerous incidences of pedophilia, prostitution and rape in the Congo – see [U.N. Says Its Workers Abuse Women in Congo \(washingtonpost.com\)](#).

Yet, as we will see – Henderson endorses not only the United Nations, she has them as the hub of the New World Order. And some of the main spokes emanating off of the hub are nonetheless intriguing.

“In our age of weapons of mass destruction, wars are the most dangerous and ineffective options. We see already in our 21st century that the new weapons of choice are currencies, as well as better diplomacy, intelligence and widely shared information. Investments geared toward the Global Marshall Plan can help guide the re-prioritizing needed to steer societies toward equitable resource-use and reduction of conflicts (Radermacher, 2004). Insurance policies for peace-keeping forces can reduce military budgets for countries wishing to follow Costa Rica, which abolished its army in 1947. The proposed United Nations Security Insurance Agency (UNSI), a partnership of the Security Council with insurance companies would assess country risks and collect premiums that would be pooled to train standing UN peace-keeping and humanitarian **forces**. (Henderson, 1995) Reforming and expanding the Security Council is now on the UN’s agenda. The **UN General Assembly** should take up all the alternative financing mechanisms, including those of the **2002 UN Monterrey Consensus, the Global Marshall Plan**, so as to implement the **Millennium Development Goals**. The time has come for **global taxes** on arms sales, currency trading, airline tickets and e-mail to provide global public goods: education, health care, sounder international financial architecture and peace-keeping.”^[8]

There is a lot of meat in the above quote, so we will take it a bit at a time for easier digestion. The plate is full – the cup runneth over.

War

I wholeheartedly endorse the position that war is the most dangerous and least effective option for dealing with world problems. Wars solve nothing but to transfer wealth from the have nots to those elite few who can never have enough: the merchants of death – purveyors of plunder over production – they who have the lust for power coursing through their veins. It is easier to take than to make, or so they believe. They like to collect stuff, the stuff of others – including others, as in the trade of human flesh – the slave trade: by physical, mental, and emotional bondage.

Next it is stated that the weapons of choice are now: **currencies**, better diplomacy, intelligence, and information. For the sake of time we will accept the last three, however, currencies begs a bit of attention.

One World Currency

Just what currencies are being referred to? Is it the U.S. Dollar, the Japanese Yen, the Chinese Yuan, or perhaps the more global Euro? Does it really make a difference, as are they all not paper fiat debt currencies? Are they not all paper schemes to transfer wealth from the many to the elite few?

But perhaps we are missing something, and some other currency is being considered. Might the next sentence hold further clues?

“Investments geared toward the **Global Marshall Plan** can help guide the re-prioritizing needed to steer societies toward equitable resource-use and reduction of conflicts (Radermacher, 2004).”^[9]

A new player has entered the field: the Global Marshall Plan, another interesting choice of words, as globalization was supposedly the scourge of the earth according to most of the article under review: *21st Century Strategies for Sustainability*. Perhaps this is a gentler and milder form of globalization, one softened by the iridescence of a thousand points of light.

First we note the unparalleled qualifications of Mr. Radermacher who is the author of the quote in question. His bio from links on the *Global Marshall Plan Initiative* state:

“Prof. Dr. Dr. Franz Josef Radermacher (1950) is married and has one son. He made his Ph.D. in mathematics at RWTH Aachen and his Ph.D. in business administration at the University of Karlsruhe. In 1982 he did his habilitation in Aachen.

Since 1987 he is director of the Re-search Institute for Applied Know-ledge Processing (FAW) in Ulm, Germany, and is holding a chair for databases and artificial intelligence at the University of Ulm. He was and is a member of different organizations and commissions, among others he led the workgroup on ‘*Sustainability and Information Society*’ of the ‘*Information Society Forum*’ at the European Commission, is Vice president of the ‘*Eco-Social Forum Europe*’, is a member of the **Club of Rome** and coordinates the **Global Marshall Plan** initiative.

He is author of more than 200 scientific articles and several books in the area of applied mathematics, operations research, applied informatics and systems theory including questions of ethics/philosophy and consequences of new technologies.”^[10]

The above is a most impressive series of passages of rights, especially the membership in the Club of Rome and the coordination of the Global Marshall Plan initiative. I recently had the privilege of writing an article on the Club of Rome: *The New World Order Club*.

Marshall Plan

Regarding the *Global Marshall Plan Initiative* we find the good Dr. stating:

“Since my time in school I have tried to understand systems and to identify areas where small changes lead to massive positive effects. Because I could never accept that rational human beings would not be able to solve their largest

problems, I have always tried to find sensible solutions focusing on the way+ **systems** need to be changed and **controlled** so that human beings can live together in peace and harmony.”

“For me the thing is quite simple. I cannot accept the extreme inequality existing in our world. So, I tried to understand why it steadily increased over the last 250 years. Being a **system analyst**, I came up with the simple answer that our current economic framework of the **free market economy** - which does not consider sufficiently environmental, social and cultural aspects - necessarily leads to this rising inequality and all its negative consequences. The alternative solution I am trying to push with the help of the Global Marshall Plan Initiative is an **Eco-social** market economy. Integrating the environmental, social and cultural guidelines in our framework is the most efficient way to improve life for 5 billion people on this planet. ”

“I advocate the framework of an eco-social market economy as an alternative to the current model of market fundamentalism. This model will include the social, cultural and ecological needs and not only the economic expectations of a small wealthy part of our world’s society.”

“The most important thing to do is to combine the existing global regulation bodies - WTO, WIPO, ILO, UNEP, etc. -, institutions - IMF, WB, etc. - and sanction mechanisms in a way that would bring the world closer to an eco-social market economy.” ^[11]

So, what is being proposed is a combination of the existing global (international & supranational) regulatory bodies and institutions, into **one grand colossus** that can **sanction mechanisms** to bring the world closer to an **eco-social market economy**, as opposed to the supposed current model of market fundamentalism.

I can’t help but wonder just what is meant by sanction mechanisms? It all sounds so grand and totalitarian, as if a New World Order by the select elite is being envisioned and offered. And who is to select these elite? – Why the elite select themselves of course.

From the [*Global Marshall Plan Initiative*](#) website we find the following description:

The Global Marshall Plan Initiative Five Strategic Cornerstones

1. Rapid implementation of the globally agreed upon Millennium Development goals of the United Nations as an intermediate step for a just world order and sustainable development.
2. Raising of an average of additional 100 billion US\$ per year for development cooperation during the period of 2008-2015. This is to be seen in comparison with the level of overseas development aid and purchasing power in 2004. Additional financing at least at this level is necessary to implement the Millennium Development

Goals (MDGs) and to act in favor of global public goods that are inter-linked with the MDGs. Therefore, these additional funds should solely be used for this purpose.

3. Fair mechanisms for the raising of necessary funds. The Global Marshall Plan Initiative supports the aspired target of 0.7 percent financing level for overseas development aid on the basis of national budgets. Nevertheless, even if optimistically assumed, there will be lacking considerable volumes of funds compared to the necessary financial requirements for the implementation of the Millennium Development Goals. Therefore, and due to the principal considerations concerning the design of global markets, an essential part of the funds needed for the implementation of the Development Millennium Goals should be generated through levies on global transactions and on the consumption of global public goods.

4. Gradual realization of a **worldwide Eco-Social Market Economy** and overcoming of the market fundamentalism through the establishment of a better regulatory framework for the world economy. This should happen in line with a fair **global contract**. For these reforms and an interlinking of existing regimes and institutions for the economy, environment, social life, and culture are needed (for example in 2 regulation areas such as UN, WTO, IMF, World Bank, ILO, UNDP, UNEP and UNESCO).

5. The prerequisites for the attainment of a reasonable regulatory framework are a fair collaborative partnership on all levels and an adequate flow of resources. The promotion of good governance, the fight against corruption as well as coordinated and grass-roots oriented forms of appropriation of funds are considered ^[12] to be decisive for self-directed development.

Although there are five stated goals, three main issues jump off the page: *The UN Millennium Development Goals*, Eco-Social Market Economy, Mechanisms for Raising Needed Funds.

Raising Funds

Special Drawing Rights along with **Global Taxes** are the main mechanisms recommended for raising needed funds.

“In addition to the creation of fair competitive conditions in the agricultural sector and improved North-South cooperation in this sector as well as reasonable methods of debt relief for the less and least developed countries, the Global Marshall Plan focuses on new financial funding sources. They are based on global added value processes and therefore neither strain domestic economies nor distort competition. Possible financing mechanisms are a **Terra-Tax** on world-wide trade, a levy on global financial transactions, trade with equal per capita emission rights, a cerosine tax, or **Special Drawing Rights** with the IMF.” ^[13]

The above quote is from the the Global Marshall Plan, which mentions **Special Drawing Rights** (SDR's) – the same as we wrote about in last week's paper on the Brandt

Commission: *The New World Order - 21st Century*. The same that are mentioned in **ALL** papers and reports on international governance – of the New World Order.

From the **Monterrey Consensus** of the International Conference on Financing for Development we read in section 44:

“We recognize the value of exploring innovative sources of finance provided that those sources do not unduly burden developing countries. In that regard, we agree to study, in the appropriate forums, the results of the analysis requested from the Secretary-General on possible innovative sources of finance, noting the proposal to use **special drawing rights** allocations for development purposes. We consider that any assessment of special drawing rights allocations must respect the International Monetary Fund's Articles of Agreement and the established rules of procedure of the Fund, which requires taking into account the global need for liquidity at the international level.”^[14]

In the **Global Marshall Plan a Planetary Contract** for a Worldwide Eco-Social Market Economy by Franz Josef Radermacher we find:

“Let us continue to work with you to examine the Tobin tax; the Soros proposal for **Special Drawing Rights**; other forms of revenue raising on a worldwide basis.”

“From the point of view of this text, these funds spent on civil projects now and in the future could be completely or partially deducted from the US contribution to a Global Marshall Plan. For instance, they could replace the US's obligation of fulfilling **special drawing right** quotas for worldwide development to the IMF, as will be explained later in this text. The corresponding special drawing rights would then increase the US currency reserves.”^[15]

U.N. Millennium Project

From the *The UN Millennium Development Goals* website we find the following introduction for the Millennium Project:

“The Millennium Project was commissioned by the United Nations Secretary-General in 2002 to recommend a concrete action plan for the world to reverse the grinding poverty, hunger and disease affecting billions of people. Headed by Professor Jeffrey Sachs, the Millennium Project was an independent advisory body and presented its final report, *Investing in Development: A Practical Plan to Achieve the Millennium Development Goals*, to the Secretary-General in January 2005. The Millennium Project was then asked to continue operating in an advisory capacity through the end of 2006.”^[16]

The Millennium Project has eight extremely honorable and worthwhile goals:

- Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger
- Achieve universal primary education
- Promote gender equality and empower women
- Reduce child mortality
- Improve maternal health
- Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria, and other diseases
- Ensure environmental sustainability
- Develop a global partnership for development ^[17]

No argument can be raised against these goals – it is not the goals that are in question, it is the means to attain these goals that is under scrutiny. The **end does not justify the means**, especially when discussing policies that will have **world altering** repercussions across **all strata of human consciousness and civilization**.

There is no room for error when one is attempting to play god and to control the future destiny of mankind. As in all judicious settings – any deliberations beyond a reasonable doubt is justification to acquit and close the case. This is true when one life hangs in the balance – let alone the destiny of the entire human race.

Eco-Social Market Economy

“‘Worldwide Eco-Social Market Economy’ means that in all important global institutions and contracts (World Trade Organization, International Monetary Fund, World Bank, International Labor Organization, UN Environmental Program) the same ecological, social and procedural standards are introduced on a mandatory basis. This offers the opportunity for a functioning global governance concept, supported by the already existing organizations.” ^[18]

What is being offered here is a system of socialistic world governance that emphasizes the **state** over and **above the individual** – statism plain and simple. A cabal of the largest international and supranational organizations are to be amalgamated into a super-colossus one world state, controlled by the select elite who are planning it, planning on running it, and planning on having you pay for it – from this day forward until death do you part.

*“The long-term objective behind the ideas presented here is an **Eco-Social Global Market Economy** in which markets and competition are inseparably linked to high standards - maybe development **state dependent** - regarding the welfare of all human beings. In this, the supporters of the Initiative are not isolated. Rather, the development of a new consensus can finally be observed, which may be capable of replacing the market-fundamentalist Washington Consensus of the Nineties that has already caused widespread devastation on this globe. This new consensus can reassert the importance of a general economical framework and the **state's role** in **ensuring wealth and welfare** in terms of an **Ordoliberalism**.”* ^[19]

This is big – very big – all-encompassing **world government** being talked about in euphemistic catch phrases intended to lower the tone of the message given. A social

market economy is just what the words say – social as in **socialism**. It suggests that it will deliver the “goods” – maybe, maybe not. But the means are clear: **centralized global rule** by **State intervention of the highest order** – by a supranational New World Order – Ordoliberalism.

The Brandt Commission hit the nail squarely on the head when it stated:

“No one wants a monolithic **supranational** body, or the dangers it would pose. **World government** is not necessary or desirable, but a new equation must be sanctioned. Strong multilateral rules and functions are needed to bridge the special claims of wealth, government, security, population, the environment, and human need. Global issues must be considered in the round, on an inclusive and representative basis. Power sharing is indispensable.”^[20]

If the various world governance plans and international organizations sited above are amalgamated into one colossal **Planetary Contract**, with **one Eco-Social Economy**, and **one World Currency** (SDR), one would be hard-pressed to find a better example of a supranational body. Simply put: if it acts and quacks like a duck – it is a duck, is about as succinct as succinct gets.

Yet, this same group recommends the following overhaul of the international monetary system:

Exchange Rate Regime

- The bulk of **IMF gold stock** should be used as collateral against which the IMF can **borrow** from the market for onward lending to middle-income developing countries
- **Staggered sales** should also be undertaken and accruing profits used as interest subsidy on loans to low-income developing countries
- Increased stability of international exchange rates should be sought through domestic discipline and co-ordination of appropriate national policies

New Reserve System

- Inaugurate a stable **international reserve currency** centered on the **Special Drawing Right** (SDR) as the principle asset
- **SDRs replace** the use of national currencies as international reserves
- Increase the issuance of SDRs in clearing and settling balances between central banks
- New SDRs created **as needed** for non-inflationary increases in world liquidity

- Link **expanded liquidity** to the adjustment process in developing nations ^[21]

The above is a more unsound monetary system than the one that presently exists, which is a tough nut to crack, but it does. Notice that Honest Money – Gold, is being recommended to be used as collateral for **loans** denominated in paper fiat debt-money, as well as being offered to be **sold** for paper fiat debt-money. This means that as **credit** increases **debt** increases with it. The road to perdition could be no clearer.

The Standard Drawing Right is unequivocally stated to be the intended **international** reserve currency, replacing all presently existing **national** currencies. SDRs are to be **created** as needed. If that isn't a prescription for unadulterated monetary inflation and loss of purchasing power through debasement of the currency, I don't know what is.

Just how are SDR's to be created, by the printing press, electronic ledgermain, or the wave of a magic wand? Anyway you slice it – the dice are loaded in favor of the House of the Rising Sun. We provide one more bit of evidence that something very big is being proffered:

“Consequently, the Global Marshall Plan Initiative aims for the successful linkage of ILO, UNESCO and global environmental standards - plus other standards where appropriate (e.g., the World Bank's Safeguard Policies and the ISO standards as indicated by Maartje van Putten) - with WTO, International Monetary Fund and World Bank, to form a coherent system of **Global Governance**.

This institutional set-up must include an adequate **supreme judicial body**, which could be institutionalized by linking various existing international legislative bodies, in particular the one pertaining to the WTO. The organization of this **supreme body** will become a central design challenge, as Maartje van Putten points out.” ^[22]

There you have it – in their words, not mine: a coherent system of **Global Governance**, a **supreme** judicial body, a **supreme** body. These are not small ideas predicated on the sovereignty of the **individual**; these are large ideas espousing the sovereignty of a **supranational state** – a New World Order of one world governance.

“Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create money and control credit, and with a flick of a pen they will create enough to buy it back.” ^[23]

“Those who create and issue money and credit direct the policies of government and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people.” ^[24]

The goals of the Millennium Project are without doubt ideals of the highest order that would greatly improve the quality of life on earth. However, remember in the first paper

of this series *The New World Order – Age of Discontent*, it was stated that World War I was fought as the war to end all wars. The intended goal to end all war is as honorable a cause as there is, but to fight a war to obtain that goal is a lost cause – the end does not justify the means. In such cases the means can actually prevent the intended end, as the cure can be worse than the disease.

Conclusion

More government is not what is needed. Supranational bodies ruling the world is not what is needed. Governance according to and by the elite collectivists is not what is needed. Socialism by whatever name is chosen to mask its hideous face is not what is needed. What is needed is the end of government intervention into the affairs of the people it is supposed to represent, and by whom its **limited** powers were granted by.

The money power *Honest Money: What It Is and What It Isn't - Part 6 The Money Power* that has been usurped by the elite via government bag men should be returned to We the People. Honest Money of Gold and Silver Coin should be allowed to circulate as acceptable currency. Let the free market decide which is best – Honest Money or paper fiat debt-money.

Money is the basis of all economic, financial, and monetary transactions – hence it is the physical basis of modern day life. Money is used as the medium of exchange to procure all other goods and services in the market, including life's basic needs. As such it is fundamental for existence in the modern day world. It is the foundation upon which stands the rest of societal structure. If the foundation is unsound, all that is built upon it is unsound as well. See *Honest Money: What It Is and What It Isn't - Part 7 Problems With Debt Money* for further detail.

Nothing can be corrected when paper fiat debt-money is allowed to circulate as money. Paper fiat is nothing but a **wealth transference mechanism** that extracts purchasing power and hence wealth from those who use it, and deposits it to those who control it – the elite moneychangers of the world.

Honest Money is the first step needed to be taken to provide any modicum of chance for the poor and destitute – even the middle class of society for that matter, as the elite have a stranglehold on all others using debt servitude as the chains of bondage around one's neck.

"Lenin is said to have declared that the best way to destroy the Capitalistic System was to debauch the currency. . . Lenin was certainly right. There is no subtler, no surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to debauch the currency. The process engages all the hidden forces of economic law on the side of destruction, and does it in a manner which not one man in a million can diagnose." [25]

Keynes got few things right, the above was one of his more lucid moments. So ends part nine of the New World Order Series.



^[1] Frederic Bastiat, *The Law*

^[2] [21st Century Strategies for Sustainability](#) by Haxel Henderson

^[3] [21st Century Strategies for Sustainability](#) Hazel Henderson

^[4] same

^[5] Alan Greenspan – Gold and Economic Freedom

^[6] Quote by Robert Hemphill, Credit Manager FRB of Atlanta

^[7] [21st Century Strategies for Sustainability](#) by Haxel Henderson

^[8] SAME

^[9] [Global Marshall Plan Initiative](#) (Radermacher, 2004)

^[10] same

^[11] same

^[12] [Global Marshall Plan Initiative](#) (Radermacher, 2004)

^[13] same

^[14] Monterrey Consensus of the International Conference on Financing for Development

^[15] Global Marshall Plan a Planetary Contract

^[16] [The UN Millennium Development Goals](#)

^[17] The Millennium Project

^[18] Josef Riegler President, Eco-Social Forum Europe Former Vice Chancellor of Austria.

^[19] Global Marshall Plan A Planetary Contract for a Worldwide Eco-Social Market Economy by Franz Josef Radermacher

^[20] Brandt Commission Report

^[21] same

^[22] Global Marshall Plan Initiative

^[23] Sir Josiah Stamp, former President, Bank of England

^[24] Rt. Hon. Reginald McKenna, former Chancellor of Exchequer, England

^[25] John Maynard Keynes *The Economic Consequences of the Peace*